CSC161 2010F Imperative Problem Solving

# **Class 40: Sorting, Revisited**

Held: Wednesday, 10 November 2010

Summary: We continue our exploration of sorting.

### **Related Pages:**

- EBoard.
- Due: Assignment 7: Sorting Out Sorting, Again.

#### Notes:

- For those of you who are planning to declare CS majors ... We'd like to encourage you to think about study abroad.
- We hope to have prospectives in class on Friday. Think about what you want to ask them.
- ETHEL concert tonight.
- EC for Thursday extra tomorrow: How did you get placed in Math and Stats and CS?
- Reading for Friday: K&R 7.5-7.5.
- Are there questions on Assignment 7?

### **Overview:**

- Templates for sorting algorithms.
- Review: Some sorting algorithms.
- Choosing a sorting algorithm.
- Lab.

## **Templates for Sorting Algorithms**

As you may recall, we developed a generic template for sorting algorithms.

- You need to define (using #define)
- TYPE, the type of values that you're sorting;
- PREFIX(FUN), a macro that puts a prefix on a function name; and
- MAY\_PRECEDE(X,Y), which the routine can use to determine whether or not X may precede Y in the sorted version.
- The declaration of a sorting routine is then simply

```
void PREFIX(sort)(TYPE values[], int size);
```

K&R take a slightly different perspective. They focus on arrays of pointers (most frequently arrays of strings) and pass in a comparator.

void sort(void \*values[], int size, int (\*compare)(void \*x, void \*y));

Is that enough to let us sort arrays of integers or doubles? No.

Can we combine the ideas? Certainly.

void PREFIX(sort)(TYPE values[], int size, int (\*compare)(TYPE x, TYPE y));

Is this a good idea? I'll let you reflect on that question.

Weinman suggests an alternative way of combining the two. We skip the macro techinque and pass in the size of objects.

```
void sort(void *values, int size, int valsize, int (*compare)(void *x, void *y));
```

Is this a good idea? I'll also let you reflect on that question.

### **Review: Sorting Algorithms**

We've sketched out a variety of sorting algorithms.

- Selection sort: Repeatedly select the largest (or smallest) remaining element and move it to the appropriate end of the unsorted portion.
- Insertion sort: Repeatedly insert elements from the unsorted portion of the array into the proper location of the sorted portion.
- Merge sort: Divide into two arrays. Recursively sort each half. Merge back together.
- Quicksort: Pick a random pivot. Divide into small and large. Recursively sort each half.
- Bubble sort: Step through the array, swapping out-of-place elements.
- Shell sort: A variant of insertion sort.

There are also many more.

## **Selecting Sorting Algorithms**

Suppose we have a library of correctly implemented sorting routines. How do we decide which one to use?

- An obvious criterion: *Time Efficiency*. We choose the "fastest" algorithm.
- Another obvious criterion: *Space Efficiency*. We choose the algorithm that uses the least additional space.
  - Bye bye merge sort.
- A less obvious criterion: Stability. If A and B are "equal" and A precedes B in the original list, does A precede B in the sorted list?
  - $\circ$  Which sorts seem to be stable?
  - Which sorts seem to be unstable?
- Another less obvious criterion: Range of data. What if I'm sorting by grade?
- It also turns out to be useful to think about the structure of the data
  - Once a day, I sort my huge dataset. Over the next day, I may add ten more things to the dataset.

(You can assume the changes are only done right before sorting.)

• Once a day, I sort my huge dataset. Over the next day, I may change the values of ten things. (Same assumption.)

# Lab

• Continue the lab on pointers to functions.