

## Class 25: Documenting Programs and Procedures

**Held:** Thursday, 11 October 2007

**Summary:** We consider documentation for your program - Why to write it, when to write it, how to write it.

### Related Pages:

- EBoard.
- Reading: Documenting Your Procedures.

### Notes:

- EC: Talk on the Gimp by your beloved TA, 4:15, 3821.
- Reading for tomorrow: Verifying Preconditions.
- Assignment 11 remains due at 4 p.m. tomorrow.

### Overview:

- The need for documentation.
- The Six P's - a strategy for documenting procedures.
- Practice.

## Documentation

- Why document? Text is often easier to read than code.
- Who is your audience? You have many.
  - People who must maintain and update your code.
  - People who must use your code.
  - People who incorporate your code into a bigger package.
- You write comments *within* code for those who must maintain and update your code. You often also write a *big picture* statement for such folks.
- Most of the comments you write in this class will be for people who are likely to use your code. We call these your *client programmers*.
- For such folks, we focus on *what* your procedures do.

## The Six P's

- I prefer to document procedures for client programmers using a careful system, in which we always describe six aspects of the procedure: The name, the parameters, the purpose, the value the procedure produces, the preconditions, and the postconditions.
- The *Purpose* is intended to give a short, informal, summary of what the procedure does.

- The other three of the first four parameters are used to name things, so that we can refer to them elsewhere.
- The *Preconditions* formalize requirements that must be met in order for the procedure to function correctly.
- The *Postconditions* formalize the results of the procedure. They are often expressed in a combination of mathematics, Scheme, and English.

## Practice

- We'll try writing documentation collaboratively for a variety of procedures.
  - `rgb-list.brightest`
  - `rgb.brighter`
  - ...
- 

Copyright © 2007 Janet Davis, Matthew Kluber, and Samuel A. Rebelsky. (Selected materials copyright by John David Stone and Henry Walker and used by permission.) This material is based upon work partially supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. CCLI-0633090. Any opinions, findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views of the National Science Foundation. This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 2.5 License. To view a copy of this license, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/2.5/> or send a letter to Creative Commons, 543 Howard Street, 5th Floor, San Francisco, California, 94105, USA.